

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 $\sqrt{}$

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,580	02/02/2001	John V. Marlow	T8465812US	6252
7590 12/05/2003 Arne I. Fors			EXAMINER	
			ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER	
Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP				
Suite 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Commerce Court West			3724	_
Toronto, ON M CANADA	Toronto, ON M5L 1J3 CANADA			12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7 4-5-6

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/773,580	MARLOW ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Boyer D. Ashley	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		
Period for Reply	• •	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	<u>' October 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 9-21 is/are version 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	withdrawn from consideratio	n
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C first sentence of the specific provisional application has t estic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. It is peen received. It is is sheet a specific in the specific in
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/773,580

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/29/03

has been entered; wherein claim 1 was amended. Claims 7 and 9-21 remain withdrawn

from consideration. Applicant's attempt to withdrawn claim 2 is noted, however,

applicant cannot simply change the status of a claim in the claim listings. It appears

that this was inadvertent by the applicant and it appears that the applicant intended to

cancel the claim. Because examiner's cannot cancel claims without applicant's consent

the examiner is informally changes the status in the listing of the claims to "original".

Applicant must address this in subsequent responses.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent

form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is

required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper

dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In this case, claim 1 was

amended to include the limitations of the claim 2; yet claim 2 remains dependent upon

claim 1. Also see examiner's comments in the first paragraph of this action.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/773,580

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (see specification pages 1-4 and Figures 1-3), hereinafter AAPA, in view of Roberts et al., U.S. patent 3,856,135, and Chen et al., U.S. Patent application 2002/0124388.

AAPA discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as explained in the instant application on pages 1-4, including the steps of cutting papered batter plates as well as teaching the need for a method of cutting paperless battery plates. However, AAPA lacks the specific method of cutting paperless battery plates with a heat blade at a temperature of at least about 150 degrees Celsius to prevent the paste from sticking to the blade.

Chen et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use paperless battery plates for the purpose of maximize productivity and flexibility of the manufacturing process as well as benefiting the initial electrical performance of the batteries. Roberts et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to heat elements that come into contact with the paste for the purpose of preventing the paste from sticking to the elements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use paperless battery plates that

Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

can be cut using a heated blade in order to increase the initial electrical performance of the batteries.

The modified device of AAPA discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the specific heated temperature of at least 150 degrees Celsius or to a range of 160 to 300 degrees Celsius or to a range of 180 to 210 degrees Celsius for the cutting die roll and the anvil roll. However, it would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to heat the cutting device/cutting roll to 150 degrees Celsius or within the range of 160 to 300 degrees Celsius for the purpose of ensuring the cutting device is heated enough to prevent the paste from sticking, because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routing skill in the art.

As to claim 5, even if it is argued that the modified device of AAPA lacks the indexing mechanism, the examiner takes official notice that it is old and well known in the art to use index mechanism while cutting mesh strips for the purpose ensuring accurate cuts in the mesh. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use an indexing mechanism with the device of AAPA in order to ensure an accurate cut in the mesh strip.

Page 5

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boyer D. Ashley whose telephone number is 703-308-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Boyer D. Ashley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

Bda 28 November 2003